

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 RHENETTA BELCHER, et al.,

Case No. 2:17-CV-1675 JCM (NJK)

8 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

9 v.

10 AMBER BALTZLEY, et al.,

11 Defendant(s).

12  
13 Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Koppe's report and recommendation  
14 ("R&R"). (ECF No. 10). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has  
15 since passed.

16 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
17 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects  
18 to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo  
19 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."  
20 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

21 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at  
22 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149  
23 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a  
24 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*  
25 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review  
26 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no  
27 objections were made).

1 Plaintiffs have not objected to the report and recommendation. Nevertheless, this court  
2 finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt the  
3 recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying  
4 briefs, the court finds that good cause appears to adopt the magistrate judge's findings.

5 Accordingly,

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge  
7 Koppe's report and recommendation (ECF No. 10) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in its  
8 entirety.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case be, and the same hereby is, DISMISSED WITH  
10 PREJUDICE.

11 DATED March 13, 2018.

12   
13 \_\_\_\_\_  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28